

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/804,939	YONKOSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brenda A. Lamb	1734	

All Participants:

(1) Brenda A. Lamb.

(2) Attorney Shaw.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Brenda A. Lamb
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney Shaw agreed to a change in the specification to support the claimed limitation of a nonforaminous proximity shield thereby obviating an objection to the specification and placing the application in condition for allowance. The examiner notes that the originally filed specification especially at page 8 line 25 to page 9 line 21 support that the proximity shield is nonforaminous.